



U.S. APPLICATION NO. 09/202,215
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 99997.025492
(FORMERLY 21506.116)

#171 Reg
for
Recon
11/3/03
K. P. H. H.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application Of:)
)
Mark VAYDA *et al.*) Group Art Unit 2673
)
Application Number: 09/202,215) Examiner: Vijay SHANKAR
)
Filed: October 5, 1999) Confirmation No.: 2611
)
For: UNIVERSAL INPUT DEVICE AND)
SYSTEM)

REPLY TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Customer Window, Mail Stop AF
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

RECEIVED

OCT 27 2003

Technology Center 2600

Dear Sir:

In response to the final Office Action of June 20, 2003, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider the rejection of record in view of the following remarks. Claims 93-102 and 104-121 are pending.

Claims 93-102 and 104-121 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as allegedly rendered unpatentable by U.S. Patent No. 5,436,640 to Reeves in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,699,032 to Marks *et al.* ("Marks"). Office Action, page 2. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection on the following grounds.

1. Claim 93 And Claims Dependent Therefrom

In regard to independent claim 93, the Examiner asserts that Reeves discloses all of the recited claim limitations except "an input selection mechanism for permitting a user to operate said input device in a character selection mode or a cursor movement mode." *Id.* at page 3. In an attempt to cure such a deficiency, Marks is introduced as disclosing such an input mechanism and the Examiner thereby contends that "it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teaching of Marks *et al.* into